

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,271	10/768,271 01/29/2004		Chuan-De Huang	5946	
25859	7590	08/09/2006		EXAMINER	
WEI TE C			REHM, ADAM C		
	FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE				PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CL	ARA, CA	95050	2875		
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/768,271	HUANG, CHUAN-DE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Adam C. Rehm	2875		

	Adam C. Rehm	2875						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires</li></ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2000					
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cot</li> <li>(b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belot)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or . (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment (	(I' 10L-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 23.		∥ be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a North date of the date	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a  ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments are based on new matter.

Applicant initially claims "dots...larger than..." Via amendment, Applicant claims the dots are larger "in size" as opposed to other possible interpretations, e.g. dots are larger in number, which is a reasonable interpretation given the state of the art illustrated by OHKAWA (US 6,671,013). The narrowing language was not disclosed in the initial disclosure.

Notably, Applicant amended the claims (4/10/2006) to specify the dots are larger -in size-, which was rejected by Examiner as new matter (7/3/2006). Thereafter, Applicant amended the specification to disclose that the dots are larger -in size- (7/26/2006).

It has been held that new matter may include not only the addition of wholly unsupported subject matter, but may include adding specific percentages...after a broader original disclosure. See MPEP 706.03(o).

THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER